

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 19-1100V

UNPUBLISHED

BARBARA HILL,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: January 22, 2021

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Damages Decision Based on Proffer;  
Influenza (Flu) Vaccine; Shoulder  
Injury Related to Vaccine  
Administration (SIRVA)

*Ryan C. Johnsen, HoganWillig, PLLC, Amherst, NY, for Petitioner.*

*Julia Marter Collison, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES<sup>1</sup>**

On July 30, 2019, Barbara Hill filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”) as a result of an influenza (“flu”) vaccine administered on October 14, 2016. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On December 14, 2020, a ruling on entitlement was issued, finding Petitioner entitled to compensation for SIRVA. On January 21, 2021, Respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation (“Proffer”) indicating Petitioner should be awarded \$90,000.00. Proffer at 1. In the Proffer, Respondent represented that Petitioner agrees with the

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

proffered award. *Id.* Based on the record as a whole, I find that Petitioner is entitled to an award as stated in the Proffer.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, **I award Petitioner a lump sum payment of \$90,000.00 in the form of a check payable to Petitioner.** This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 15(a).

The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**  
Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS  
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

BARBARA HILL,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

No. 19-1100V  
Chief Special Master Corcoran  
ECF

**RESPONDENT’S PROFFER ON AWARD OF COMPENSATION**

On July 30, 2019, Barbara Hill (“petitioner”) filed a petition for compensation (“Petition”) under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to 34 (“Vaccine Act” or “Act”), as amended. Petitioner alleges that she suffered a “shoulder injury related to vaccine administration” as a result of an influenza (“flu”) vaccine administered to her on October 14, 2016. On December 11, 2020, respondent filed his Vaccine Rule 4(c) report, recommending that compensation be awarded. On December 14, 2020, the Chief Special Master issued a Ruling on Entitlement finding petitioner entitled to compensation.

**I. Items of Compensation**

Respondent proffers that petitioner should be awarded \$90,000.00 in pain and suffering damages. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a). Petitioner agrees.

**II. Form of the Award**

Petitioner is a competent adult. Evidence of guardianship is not required in this case. Respondent recommends that the compensation provided to petitioner should be made through a lump sum payment as described below and requests that the Chief Special Master’s decision and

the Court's judgment award the following<sup>1</sup>: a lump sum payment of \$90,000.00, representing compensation for all elements of economic and noneconomic damages, in the form of a check payable to petitioner, Barbara Hill.

**III. Summary of Recommended Payments Following Judgment**

Lump sum payable to petitioner, Barbara Hill:	<b>\$90,000.00</b>
---	--------------------

Respectfully submitted,

BRIAN BOYNTON  
Acting Assistant Attorney General

C. SALVATORE D'ALESSIO  
Acting Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

HEATHER L. PEARLMAN  
Acting Deputy Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

DARRYL R. WISHARD  
Assistant Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

/s/ Julia M. Collison  
JULIA M. COLLISON  
Trial Attorney  
Torts Branch, Civil Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 146  
Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, DC 20044-0146  
Tel: (202) 305-0102

Dated: January 21, 2021

---

<sup>1</sup> Should petitioner die prior to entry of judgment, the parties reserve the right to move the Court for appropriate relief. In particular, respondent would oppose any award for future lost earnings and future pain and suffering.